

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/791,225                     | 03/02/2004  | Sol A. Gonzalvo      | 3639                    |                  |  |
| 7590 04/18/2005                |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Donald W. Meeker               |             |                      | ROBINSON, MARK A        |                  |  |
| Patent Agent<br>924 East Ocean | n Front #E  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| Newport Beach, CA 92661        |             |                      | 2872                    |                  |  |
|                                |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Applicatio  | n No.  | Applicant(s)  |        |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/791,22   | 5  | GONZALVO, SOL   | A.     |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  |  | Art Unit  |        |  |  |  |
|   |   | Mark A. Ro  |  | 2872  |        |  |  |  |
| The N<br>Period for Repl  | NAILING DATE of this communica<br>Y   | ation appears on the  | cover sheet with the   | correspondence add  | dress  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILIN  - Extensions of the after SIX (6) Michigan of the period for the six of the | NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR GOVERNMENT OF THIS COMMUNICATION of the may be available under the provisions of SONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or reply is specified above, the maximum statut within the set or extended period for reply will yield by the Office later than three months after erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no everication. days, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will I, by statute, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be to<br>tory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cation to become ABANDON | imely filed  ays will be considered timely m the mailing date of this co IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |   | •   | •  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1) ☐ Respo  | nsive to communication(s) filed   | on  |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This a  |   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of (  | Claims  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of<br>5) ☐ Claim(<br>6) ☑ Claim(<br>7) ☐ Claim(   | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Application Pa  | pers  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> — .  | ecification is objected to by the I   |   | ·  |   |        |  |  |  |
|   | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| •   | ement drawing sheet(s) including tr<br>ith or declaration is objected to b  |   |  | •   |        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 3  | 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |   |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | erences Cited (PTO-892)   |   | 4) Interview Summa   | ry (PTO-413)  |        |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Dra  | ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC  |   | Paper No(s)/Mail   | Date  | 2.452) |  |  |  |
|   | isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P <sup>-</sup><br>Mail Date   | TO/SB/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  | i Patent Application (PTC   | J-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/791,225

Art Unit: 2872

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feinbloom (US 4182552) in view of Bowerman (US 3841769).

Feinbloom shows in figs. 2 and 3 a rear view mirror device including a base(37) with adhesive-covered attaching surface(GLUE), an interior mirror mounting surface spaced apart from and at an angle to the attaching surface upon which a "narrow focus" flat rectangular mirror(36) is attached.

Feinbloom does not show a post and ball and socket connection extending from the interior mounting surface of the base to allow for adjustability of the mirror. However, Bowerman shows a post and ball and socket adjusting assembly for a mirror in fig. 2. Note that Bowerman teaches a plethora of

Art Unit: 2872

indents and protrusions to provide locking of the mirror at any angle and an L-shaped post. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include the ball and socket adjusting arrangement shown by Bowerman with Feinbloom's mirror in order to enable full adjustment of the mirror to cover various viewing locations.

Regarding claim 4, although not taught by the references, making the mirror surface not greater than two inches in width would have been obvious at the time of invention so as not to obstruct a substantial portion of the main mirror shown by Feinbloom.

Claims 5 and 6 are directed to the intended use of the device. Since the mirror of Feinbloom in view of Bowerman is capable of being used in the claimed manner (note that Feinbloom shows the mirror mounted near an edge of a side mirror), these claims are met by the references.

Regarding claim 8, although not shown by the references, curved mirrors are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to use a curved (convex) mirror in place of Feinbloom's flat mirror to provide an increased field of view.

Art Unit: 2872

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Adams, Lawson, Kim and Lupkas all show mirrors supported by a wedge-shaped base. Do Espirito Santo, Ward, and Huang all show mirrors supported by post/ball and socket arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,225 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

4/15/05

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER